

QUESTIONNAIRE MANUAL

MODULE G. PARTNERS' WORK AND MIGRATION	1
MODULE H. CHILDREN'S MIGRATION.....	13

MODULE G. PARTNERS' WORK AND MIGRATION

[NOT SN]

Respondents:

Returned and non-migrants with some partner since the last migration to EU until today

Basic description of section's rationale and dynamics:

The aim of this module is to collect relevant information on the partners that were mentioned in module C (excluding those whose relationship with R ended before his/her last migration).

There are two main types of information we collect: economic activity (more specifically labour market participation) and international migration. The reason we collect this information is that these issues might affect and/or be affected by R's migration decisions. So this information will help us better look into these effects.

The module consists of a grid screen, where all partners declared in module C (excluding those whose relationship with R ended before his/her last migration) are shown. Interviewers need to enter the specific questionnaire dedicated to each partner, in order to apply the following questions (marked with *). The questionnaire only slightly differs for current and former partners.

WARNING

In this part of the questionnaire, bear in mind that we are requesting R information on a third person. Interviewers must be sympathetic to the fact that **R is unlikely to be as well-informed and confident on these answers as he/she can be when responding about himself/herself**. This is particularly true in the case of former partners, as well as for the section on partners' migratory trajectory. If dates and sequences are frequently hard to remember, this is even more so when discussing another person's comes and goes.

Please do always emphasize, as convenient during the conversation, that it is absolutely understandable that R might not be completely certain about some answers, and that **we only expect him/her to answer to the best of her knowledge, and to provide approximate dates.**

**** PARTNERS' ACTIVITY ******Respondents:****Partners since the last migration to EU until today**▪ ***G5/G21. Partner: Had ever worked before got married/started cohabiting**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondent</u>: partners present since ylm until current moment - <u>Q type</u>: Spontaneous answer - <u>Input</u>: pick Y/N answer 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - WORK = production of services or goods for the market, and it can be of different types: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. performed for others or independently (self-employment) b. paid or unpaid c. formal or informal d. performed at home or somewhere else e. Family aids included f. Housework is NOT included in this definition.

▪ *** G6/G22. Partner: Whether worked during R's last migration**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondents</u>: only RET. for partners during last migration - <u>Q type</u>: Spontaneous answer - <u>Input</u>: pick from short list <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If answer is simply "Yes", probe: "All of the time?" 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - It is irrelevant where the partner was during that time: while R was in LDC, the partner may have lived in CoS, LDC or elsewhere. The important reference here is time: the time when R last lived in LDC

▪ *** G7. Current partner: Current main activity**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondents</u>: Current partners - <u>Q type</u>: Spontaneous answer - <u>Input</u>: pick from short list 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Interviewers will have to pick the category fitting R's spontaneous answer the best. If doubts emerge, the interviewer should probe about which of the 2 (or more) alternatives that seem relevant he/she considers to describe the partner's status best. In case R doubts, clarify the definitions.
<p>The categories explained:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - WORKING = production of services or goods for the market, and it can be of different types: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. performed for others or independently (self-employment) b. paid or unpaid c. formal or informal d. performed at home or somewhere else e. Family aids included f. Housework is NOT included in this definition. 	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - STUDYING = any type of studies that involve a significant level of dedication. - UNEMPLOYED = when the person is not working but wishes to work, and is actively looking for a job, dedicating a significant amount of his/her time - LOOKING AFTER THE HOME OR FAMILY = when the person dedicates most of his/her time to taking care of the house and family <p>How to categorize?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ex. A female partner who was looking after the home as she looked for a job = either UNEMPLOYED or LOOKING AFTER THE HOME. Kindly request R to decide which one better fits the partner's situation at the time, noticing that a significant amount of time should be devoted to actively looking for a job.
--

▪ ***G8. Current partner: Professional situation**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Respondents: Current partners who are Currently working - Q type: Read options - Input: pick from list 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - We leave it up to R to define his/her professional situation. Interviewers will explain the categories only if R is in doubt - Cases of « False » self-employed: the respondent was hired under the condition of becoming self-employed (i.e. legal status of sub-contractor). As a result, the self-employed person has a single client who is in reality his/her employer (but not legally) = leave it up to the respondent to decide whether to pick SELF-EMPLOYED or WORKING FOR SOMEONE ELSE - There might be other inconsistencies between the actual nature of the job as performed by the respondent and its formal definition and circumstances. As stated above, we will leave it up to R to define his/her own situation. But if R doubts, refer to the actual tasks/job that he/she does/did. Ex. a pharmacist formally hired as a trainee, but with experience and performing high-responsibility tasks = WORKING FOR SOMEONE

<p>The categories explained:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - WORKING INDEPENDENTLY = R does not have an employer. More specifically: these refers to jobs where the remuneration is directly dependent upon the profits derived from the goods and services produced (where own consumption is considered to be part of profits). R makes the operational decisions affecting the enterprise <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. "EMPLOYER" = hires employees on a regular basis. Employees should be paid or compensated somehow, but this might also mean symbolic and in-kind payments (including training) b. "SELF-EMPLOYED" = without employees on a regular basis. - WORKING FOR SOMEONE ELSE = R has an employer. More specifically: the employer, and not R, makes operational decisions and provides (if that's the case) some type of remuneration. That is to say: remuneration is not directly dependent upon the profits derived from the goods and services produced (except if such agreement is reached with the employer). <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. WORKING FOR ONE/VARIOUS EMPLOYERS = Paid employment jobs. These are

<p>typically remunerated by wages and salaries, but may be paid by commission from sales, by piece-rates, bonuses or in-kind payments such as food or housing or training</p> <p>b. "ASSISTING ON FAMILY BUSINESS OR FARM" = R works (paid or unpaid) in a business/farm run by a related person. It could be paid or not</p> <p>c. INTERN / TRAINEE / APPRENTICE = On the basis of receiving training and formation, could be additionally paid or not.</p> <p>- "COOPERATIVE" = R is a member of a cooperative producing goods and services, in which each member takes part on an equal footing with other members in determining the organization of production, investments and the distribution of the proceeds amongst their members.</p>
--

▪ ***G9. Current partner: Skills in occupation**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondents:</u> Current partners who are currently working - <u>Q type:</u> Read options - <u>Input:</u> pick from short list 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Status has a large subjective and context-specific component, so we leave it up to R to pick the category response that he/she considers. Interviewers will explain the categories only if R is in doubt
<p>The categories explained:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1. LOW-SKILLED WORK <ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Jobs that require simple and routine physical or manual tasks » Ex: office cleaners, freight handlers, garden labourers, kitchen assistants - 2. SKILLED MANUAL WORK <ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Jobs where most of the responsibilities involve the realization of physical tasks (as opposed to working on a computer or with written forms) which require a specific set of general skills (literacy, numeracy, communication) and specialized skills (obtained through formal education or on-the-job training and experience). » Ex: butchers, bus drivers, sewing machinists, dressmakers, shop sales assistants, police officers, hairdressers, building electricians and motor vehicle mechanics, nurses - 3. SKILLED NON-MANUAL WORK <ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Jobs where most of the responsibilities involve intellectual or clerical tasks (in opposition to physical tasks) which require a specific set of general skills (literacy, numeracy, communication) and specialized skills (obtained through formal education or on-the-job training and experience). » Ex: secretaries, accounts clerks, real estate agents - 4. PROFESSIONAL <ul style="list-style-type: none"> » As above, only specifically referred to highly qualified liberal professions, such as lawyers, architects, doctors, etc. » Ex. Lawyers, engineers and architects, doctors - 5. HIGHER-LEVEL OCCUPATION (e.g. manager, director) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Jobs that consist mainly of legislative, administrative or managerial tasks » Ex: legislators, senior officials and civil servants, large firm CEOs and managers 	

- * **G10/G23. Partner: Whether has ever worked after R's last return (RET) / since start of relationship (NM)**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<p>- <u>Respondents</u>: (G10) current partners who are NOT currently working; (G23) and former partners who were so at the time of R's last return or later</p> <p>- <u>Q type</u>: Spontaneous answer</p> <p>- <u>Input</u>: pick from short list</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• If answer is simply "Yes", probe: "All of the time?" <p>VARIATION BETWEEN RET-NM:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• RET G10:<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ [If relationship started before last return] Has he/she ever worked after you returned to (CoS)?○ [If relationship started with/after last return] Has he/she ever worked after you (got married/started living together [FORMAL START])?• NM G10:<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Has he/she ever worked since you (got married/started living together [FORMAL START])?• RET G23:<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ [If relationship started before last return] Did he/she ever work after you returned to (CoS)?○ [If relationship started with/after last return] Did he/she ever work after you (got married/started living together [FORMAL START])?○ 9. Doesn't apply (relationship ended at the time of return approx.)• NM G23:<ul style="list-style-type: none">○ Did he/she ever work in the time since you (got married/started living together [FORMAL START]) until the relationship ended?○ 9. NOT APPLICABLE	<p>- RET. It is irrelevant where the partner was during that time: while R was in LDC, the partner may have lived in CoS, LDC or elsewhere. The important reference here is time: the time when and after R returned to CoS (in the current period)</p>

**** PARTNER'S MIGRATORY TRAJECTORY ****

Respondents:

Partners at/since the last migration to EU until today

Basic description of section's rationale and dynamics:

In this section we aim to register the partner's international migratory experience (outside his/her country of birth), very similarly to the way we register R's international migratory experience in module B:

- First, G11/G11 inquire about the partner's international migratory experience (outside his/her country of birth) **before the relationship started.**
- Next, the application shows a grid screen with one single register pre-charged, which corresponds to the country where the partner lived at the moment the relationship started (info obtained from C6). Interviewers must simply confirm (and register) since when the partner was living in that country: *"We've mentioned before that PARTNERX was living in COUNTRYX when you started your relationship. Do you know since when he/she was living there?"*
- Finally, interviewers have to ask whether the partner has lived somewhere else after that (for 3 months or more [UA: 2-month]) during the time the relationship lasted (or up until today in the case of current partners). If that's the case, interviewers must register those country stays in a consecutive manner, much as we do in B module for R. NOTE: the application will not allow registering a country stay that starts after the relationship ended
- If R states at some point that the partner always migrated with him/her from that point onwards, clicking the "list+" icon the device will complete the rest of stays automatically.

The following questions (with *) will be repeated for every partner:

- ***G11/G24. Partner: Whether partner had lived outside his/her CoB (for 3 months or more [UA: 2-month]) before starting relationship**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondent:</u> partners present since ylm until current moment - <u>Q type:</u> Spontaneous answer - <u>Input:</u> pick Y/N answer 	-

▪ ***G11a/G24a. Partner: Whether had lived in Europe or elsewhere**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondent</u>: partners present since ylm until current moment - <u>Q type</u>: Spontaneous answer - <u>Input</u>: pick from short list <p>VARIATIONS PER COUNTRIES:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>RO</i>: referred to "other EU countries" • <i>UA</i>: referred to "EU countries" 	-

The following questions (with **) will be repeated for each of the partner's country stays:

▪ ****G13/G26. Country**

	Mechanics	Clarifications
1 st stay/ row	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Question type</u> : Automatically fulfilled by tablet (= country of residence at start of relationship) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Interviewers must simply confirm the information displayed by the tablet: "We've mentioned before that PARTNERX was living in COUNTRYX when you started your relationship..."
Rest of stays/ rows	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Question type</u> : Spontaneous answer - <u>Input</u>: Pick from list <p>If ANOTHER COUNTRY selected:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Type in country b) Pick continent and then country from list 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>NOTE: the application will not allow registering a country stay that starts after the relationship ended</u>
	<p>If 9. REPEATED SEQUENCE: select 2 countries. Country 1 is the country with the first stay of < 1 year length initiating the repeated pattern (but it cannot be CoS for technical reasons; in that case, just switch Country 1 and Country 2)</p>	

■ ****G14/G27. Year and month of arrival**

	Mechanics	Clarifications
1 st stay/ row	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Question type</u> : Spontaneous answer - <u>Input</u>: MONTH: pick from the list. YEAR: Type in. If the person doesn't remember the year, ask for and enter AGE (at the time) instead If the partner was living there since birth, click on button "SINCE BIRTH" 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - After confirming the country where the partner resided when the relationship started, the interviewer must ask since when was he/she living there: "We've mentioned before that PARTNERX was living in COUNTRYX when you started your relationship. Do you know since when he/she was living there?" - BE AWARE!! If the person was born in this country or has lived there in different occasions, you should refer specifically to the period when the relationship started. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ex. Partner was born in Spain (1980). She migrated to the UK in 2000 but returned to Spain in 2005. While still in Spain, she met R in 2008 → "We've mentioned before that PARTNERX was living in SPAIN when you started your relationship. Do you know since when he/she was living there?" = 2005 (NOT 1980)
Rest of stays/ rows	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Question type</u> : Spontaneous answer - <u>Input</u>: as above 	
	If 9. REPEATED SEQUENCE: enter month and year when this sequence started	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The start of the sequence is the start of the first stay (< 1 year) in Country 1 - The end of the sequence (and start of next period) is the start of the next stay lasting > 1 year or in a different country

*** REASONS FOR SEPARATION ***

Respondents:
Returned migrants only, and only for those partners who

In this section, the application identifies whether there has been a (physical) separation between R and his/her partner since R's last migration to the EU (by comparing R's migratory trajectory in B and the partner's migratory trajectory we just registered). The following three types of separation are considered:

- a) The partner did not live in LDC (for 3 months or more) at any point during R's last stay there
- b) The partner lived in LDC (for 3 months or more) during that time, but left earlier than R
- c) The partner lived in LDC (for 3 months or more) during that time, but stayed longer than R (or is still here)

▪ **G15/G28. Reasons why partner did not go to LDC during R's last stay there**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Respondents : partners who were so during R's last stay in LDC but who never lived (for 3 months or more [UA: 2-month]) in LDC during that time - Question type : Spontaneous answer. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is very important to pose the question very openly, encouraging the person to provide as much detail and specifics as possible regarding what is considered the main reason or the main 2 reasons. • If the answer is simply "he or she decided/wanted/preferred so", ask to specify if possible. If they say no, or interviewers detect a withdrawing attitude, do not insist, and mark DA. - Input: Pick up to 2 options from the list <p>IMPORTANT: interviewers to learn well and practice the list. To facilitate this, the list is organized into 5 broad categories, each with 1-4 sub-categories</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Notice that in module B we have already discussed R's motivations to migrate to LDC and to return to CoS. In these questions we are focusing instead on the reasons why the partner migrated or not at different time points, following or not R. - If the respondent's answer contains various possible sub-categories, inquire to find out which (2) sub-categories were more relevant
<p>The categories explained:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - PARTNER'S OBLIGATIONS OR OPPORTUNITIES. This includes any situation in which work or studies plans and opportunities, or family obligations, explain why the partner did not go to LDC. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. JOB/STUDIES/OPPORTUNITIES in general b. Assisting in FAMILY FARM OR BUSINESS, or TAKING CARE OF DEPENDENTS 	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - OBSTACLES. This includes other circumstances that prevented or made difficult that the partner would go to LDC. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. COULD NOT GET VISA b. FINANCIALLY DIFFICULT c. NOT MARRIED at the time - MIGRATION SEQUENCE. This applies when R and/or the partner have made a strategic decision about one of them going ahead or staying behind: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. R TO GO FIRST TO SEE HOW THINGS WERE/WENT - PERSONAL ISSUES. This includes situations where personal circumstances explain the partner's absence in LDC <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. RELATIONSHIP NOT GOING WELL b. PARTNER'S PREFERENCE FOR LIFESTYLE OR BELONGINGNESS ELSEWHERE c. FAMILY PRESSURE - OTHER. Any other circumstance, including HEALTH issues

▪ **G16/G29. Reasons why current partner left LDC before R did**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Respondents : for partners who were so during R's last stay in LDC and lived there during that time, but left earlier than R - Question type : Spontaneous answer. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is very important to pose the question very openly, encouraging the person to provide as much detail and specifics as possible regarding what is considered the main reason or the main 2 reasons. • If the answer is simply "he or she decided/wanted/preferred so", ask to specify if possible. If they say no, or interviewers detect a withdrawing attitude, do not insist, and mark DA. - Input: Pick up to 2 options from the list <p>IMPORTANT: interviewers to learn well and practice the list. To facilitate this, the list is organized into 5 broad categories, each with 1-4 sub-categories</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Notice that in module B we have already discussed R's motivations to migrate to LDC and to return to CoS. In these questions we are focusing instead on the reasons why the partner migrated or not at different time points, following or not R. - BE AWARE: the partner might have not returned to CoS then, the only condition is that he/she left LDC earlier than R - If the respondent's answer contains various possible sub-categories, inquire to find out which (2) sub-categories were more relevant

<p>The categories explained:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - PARTNER'S OBLIGATIONS OR OPPORTUNITIES. This includes any situation in which work or studies plans and opportunities, or family obligations, explain why the partner did not go to LDC. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. JOB/STUDIES/OPPORTUNITIES in general b. Assisting in FAMILY FARM OR BUSINESS, or TAKING CARE OF DEPENDENTS - OBSTACLES. This includes other circumstances that prevented or made difficult that the partner would go to LDC.
--

- a. COULD NOT GET VISA
- b. FINANCIALLY DIFFICULT
- MIGRATION SEQUENCE. This applies when R and/or the partner have made a **strategic decision about one of them going ahead or staying behind**:
 - a. PARTNER TO GO FIRST TO PREPARE RETURN
 - b. PARTNER WENT FIRST TO SOLVE SOME ISSUES, AND STAYED
- PERSONAL ISSUES. This includes situations where **personal circumstances** explain the partner's departure from LDC
 - a. RELATIONSHIP NOT GOING WELL
 - b. PARTNER'S PREFERENCE FOR LIFESTYLE OR BELONGINGNESS ELSEWHERE
 - c. FAMILY PRESSURE
- OTHER. Any other circumstance, including HEALTH issues.

▪ **G17/G30. Reasons why current partner stayed in LDC after respondent's last return to CoS**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondents</u> : for partners who were so during R's last stay in LDC and lived there during that time, and stayed there longer than R (or still there) - Question type : Spontaneous answer. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is very important to pose the question very openly, encouraging the person to provide as much detail and specifics as possible regarding what is considered the main reason or the main 2 reasons. • If the answer is simply "he or she decided/wanted/preferred so", ask to specify if possible. If they say no, or interviewers detect a withdrawing attitude, do not insist, and mark DA. - <u>Input</u>: Pick up to 2 options from the list <p>IMPORTANT: interviewers to learn well and practice the list. To facilitate this, the list is organized into 5 broad categories, each with 1-4 SUB-categories</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Notice that in module B we have already discussed R's motivations to migrate to LDC and to return to CoS. In these questions we are focusing instead on the reasons why the partner migrated or not at different time points, following or not R. - BE AWARE: the partner may have always lived in, or migrated earlier than the respondent to, LDC - If the respondent's answer contains various possible sub-categories, inquire to find out which (2) sub-categories were more relevant
<p>The categories explained:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - PARTNER'S OBLIGATIONS OR OPPORTUNITIES. This includes any situation in which work or studies plans and opportunities, or family obligations, explain why the partner did not go to LDC. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. JOB/STUDIES/OPPORTUNITIES in general b. Assisting in FAMILY FARM OR BUSINESS, or TAKING CARE OF DEPENDENTS c. OBTAIN RENEWAL OF RESIDENCE PERMIT OR NATIONALITY 	

d. OBTAIN PENSION BENEFITS

- **OBSTACLES.** This includes other circumstances that prevented or made difficult for the partner to leave earlier LDC.
 - a. COULD NOT GET VISA, RESIDENCE OR WORK PERMIT FOR COS
 - b. FINANCIALLY DIFFICULT TO LIVE IN COS TOGETHER
- **MIGRATION SEQUENCE.** This applies when R and/or the partner have made a strategic decision about one of them going ahead or staying behind:
 - a. PARTNER TO STAY IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN THE LEVEL OF INCOME
 - b. PARTNER TO STAY TO FACILITATE R'S POSSIBILITY TO COME BACK
- **PERSONAL ISSUES.** This includes situations where personal circumstances explain the partner staying behind in LDC
 - a. RELATIONSHIP NOT GOING WELL
 - b. PARTNER'S PREFERENCE FOR LIFESTYLE OR BELONGINGNESS IN LDC
 - c. FAMILY PRESSURE
- **OTHER.** Any other circumstance, including HEALTH issues.

How to categorize?

Ex. Partner was born in LDC and has always lived there. They had a relationship while R was there...

- a but things were not going particularly well, so when R returned the partner did not follow = RELATIONSHIP NOT GOING WELL
- b. but they broke up and this prompted R to return = RELATIONSHIP NOT GOING WELL
- c., which they continued once R returned. But the partner had to stay because he/she had certain obligations in LDC or better job opportunities, so they decided to continue on distance = PARTNER'S OBLIGATIONS OR OPPORTUNITIES
- d , which they continued once R returned. But the partner had a strong preference for LDC because of family and/or cultural ties, so they decided to continue on distance = PARTNER'S PREFERENCE FOR LIFESTYLE/ BELONGINGNESS IN LDC

MODULE H. CHILDREN'S MIGRATION

[NOT SN]

Respondents:
RETs and NMs who have declared some children

Basic description of section's rationale and dynamics:

The aim of this module is to collect relevant information on the children mentioned in module C about current place of residence and, for children who were minors at the time, whether they lived in LDC during R's last migration. Unlike R and his/her partners, for children we are not capturing their full or partial residential trajectory. We only inquire whether any of R's children (who were minors at the time) ever lived in LDC during his/her last stay there and their current place of residence to find out whether currently they live apart. With this, we aim at capturing relevant separation and reunification dynamics involving in particular minor children.

The reason we collect this information is that children can affect someone's migration decisions, and those decisions in turn can also affect their children. So this information will help us better look into these effects.

The module consists of a grid screen, where all children declared in module C are shown. Interviewers have to enter the specific questionnaire dedicated to each child, in order to apply the following questions (marked with *) to all of them.

**** (MINOR) CHILDREN DURING R'S LAST MIGRATION****

Respondents:
RETs only and only for children who were:
- Born before last return AND
- Still minors at the time of R's last migration (or born during that migration period)

▪ ***H6. Child: Ever lived in LDC during respondent's last migration**

<i>Mechanics</i>	<i>Clarifications</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondents</u>: only RET - <u>Q type</u> : Spontaneous answer - <u>Input</u>: pick Y/N answer 	-

▪ ***H6a. Child: When arrived in LDC (during R's last migration)**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondents</u>: only RET. (Minor) child who lived in LDC during R's last migration - <u>Q type</u> : Spontaneous answer - <u>Input</u>: Pick from short list. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) If YEAR, then manually enter the year. If the respondent doesn't remember the year, the child's AGE at the time can be introduced instead, and the tablet will calculate the year b) Pick AS AN ADULT (OVER 18) only if R spontaneously gives this information (otherwise the tablet will activate it automatically after introducing the year) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -

▪ ***H7. Child: Reasons why never lived in LDC during R's last migration**

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondents</u>: only RET. (Minor) child who never lived in LDC during R's last migration - <u>Question type</u> : Spontaneous answer. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is very important to pose the question very openly, encouraging the person to provide as much detail and specifics as possible regarding what is considered the main reason or the main 2 reasons. - <u>Input</u>: Pick up to 2 options from the list <p>IMPORTANT: interviewers to learn well and practice the list. To facilitate this, the list is organized into 5 broad categories, each with 2-4 sub-categories</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Some options do not specify the subject: the subject might be R, the partner, other family members or any combination of these. We therefore do not dig into who "brought/imposed" this reason, just about the actual reason (as perceived by R). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ex: 1.1. Did not want to disrupt (his/her) life there (e.g. school, friends...) - If the respondent's answer contains various possible sub-categories, inquire to find out which (2) sub-categories were more relevant
<p>The categories explained:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - CHILD'S OBLIGATIONS OR OPPORTUNITIES. This includes any situation in which an assessment of the child's work or studies plans and opportunities, or family obligations, explains why the child did not go to LDC. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. LIFE DISRUPTION (school, friends) b. EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES c. Assisting in FAMILY FARM OR BUSINESS, or TAKING CARE OF DEPENDENTS - OBSTACLES. This includes other circumstances that prevented or made difficult that the child would go to LDC. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. COULD NOT GET VISA 	

b. FINANCIALLY DIFFICULT
<p>- PARENTS' SEPARATION. Notice this covers 2 very different situations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. STAYED BEHIND. This refers to situations where parents are still together as a couple, but they got physically separated due to migration. The child stayed with the partner rather than R. b. GOT SEPARATED. This refers to situations where parents get separated as a couple. The child stayed with the partner rather than R. <p>- UPBRINGING PREFERENCES. This includes considerations by parents or family about how/where to raise children</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. TOO SMALL TO TRAVEL/LIVE ABROAD b. DID NOT WANT TO RAISE CHILD IN LDC, PREFERRED TO RAISE HIM AT HOME c. FAMILY PRESSURE <p>- OTHER. Any other circumstance, including HEALTH issues</p>

** CHILDREN'S CURRENT RESIDENCE **

Respondents:
RETs and NM; all children

▪ ***H8. Child: Current country of residence**

<i>Mechanics</i>	<i>Clarifications</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondents:</u> all children - <u>Q type :</u> Spontaneous answer - <u>Input:</u> Pick from short list <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If 3. ANOTHER COUNTRY: start typing to browse list 	-

▪ ***H8a. Child: Since when**

<i>Mechanics</i>	<i>Clarifications</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Respondents:</u> all children - <u>Q type :</u> Spontaneous answer - <u>Input:</u> Pick from short list <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To enter a specific year, click on the option "ANOTHER YEAR" and another screen will be prompted to manually enter the year. • If the respondent doesn't remember the year, the child's AGE at the time can be introduced instead → pick "ANOTHER YEAR" and click DK, so question about age is prompted 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - If the child has had different stays (of 3 months or more [UA: 2-month]) in the country, refer to the last one

▪ *H9. Child: Reasons why child is living in country different from CoS

Mechanics	Clarifications
<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Respondent: <i>child who is a minor and is not currently living in CoS</i>- Question type: Spontaneous<ul style="list-style-type: none">• It is very important to pose the question very openly, encouraging the person to provide as much detail and specifics as possible regarding what is considered the main reason or the main 2 reasons.- Input: Pick from not-so-short list. Select up to 2 options <p><u>IMPORTANT: interviewers to learn well and practice the list. To facilitate this, the list is organized into 5 broad categories, each with 2-4 sub-categories</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Some options do not specify the subject: the subject might be R, the partner, other family members or any combination of these. We therefore do not dig into who “brought/imposed” this reason, just about the actual reason (as perceived by R). Ex: 1.1. Do not want to disrupt (his/her) life there (e.g. school, friends...)- If the respondent's answer contains various possible sub-categories, inquire to find out which (2) sub-categories were more relevant
<p>The categories explained:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- CHILD'S OBLIGATIONS OR OPPORTUNITIES. This includes any situation in which an assessment of the child's work or studies plans and opportunities, or family obligations, explains why the child currently lives in a country other than CoS.<ul style="list-style-type: none">a. LIFE DISRUPTION (school, friends)b. EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIESc. Waiting to obtain RESIDENCE PERMIT OR NATIONALITYd. Assisting in FAMILY FARM OR BUSINESS, or TAKING CARE OF DEPENDENTS- OBSTACLES. This includes other circumstances that prevented or made difficult that the child would go to LDC.<ul style="list-style-type: none">a. FINANCIALLY DIFFICULT- PARENTS' SEPARATION. Notice this covers 2 very different situations:<ul style="list-style-type: none">a. STAYED BEHIND. This refers to situations where parents are still together as a couple, but they got physically separated due to migration. The child stayed with the partner rather than R.b. GOT SEPARATED. This refers to situations where parents get separated as a couple. The child stayed with the partner rather than R.- UPBRINGING PREFERENCES. This includes considerations by parents or family about how/where to raise children<ul style="list-style-type: none">a. TOO SMALL TO TRAVEL/LIVE HEREb. DO NOT WANT TO RAISE CHILD IN LDC, PREFERRED TO RAISE HIM AT HOMEc. FAMILY PRESSURE- OTHER. Any other circumstance, including HEALTH issues	